ENHANCING QUALITY ASSESSMENT AND PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PILOT FOCUSED QUALITY SURVEYOR WORKSHEET

PROGRAM ANALYSIS WORKSHEET

| Sui | rvey Agency Name: | |
|----------------------|--|---|
| inc hav tho | clude any HIPAA sensitive data on this worksheet. <u>Complete one worksheet for each</u> we many different organs under one QAPI program, which would require the complete | e obtained by the surveyor. Answer all questions and completely fill in all charts. Do not not the hospital's transplant QAPI programs being surveyed. A transplant program may tion of only one QAPI Worksheet. If there is more than one transplant QAPI program (i. ed to be completed. Separate transplant QAPI programs will have their own policies and |
| | PART 1: QAPI P | ROGRAM INFORMATION |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. | Transplant Hospital Name: Transplant Hospital Address: City / Zip: State: | |
| 5. | Transplant Hospital Provider Number: | 1 |
| 6. | Survey Type: Focused QAPI | |
| 7. | Region: | |
| | Surveyor Name(s): | Survey date(s):/(mm/dd/yyyy) |
| 8. | Types of transplant program(s) covered by this Quality Assessment and Per | rformance Improvement program |
| | Adult kidney-only Adult pancreas Adult intestinal and/or management Adult heart-only Adult heart/lung Adult lung-only Pediatric pancreas Pediatric heart-only | Pediatric heart/lung Pediatric lung-only Pediatric liver Pediatric intestinal and/or multivisceral |

PART 2: QAPI DESIGN AND SCOPE

<u>Regulation</u>: Transplant programs must develop, implement, and maintain a written, comprehensive, data-driven QAPI program designed to monitor and evaluate performance of all transplantation services, including services provided under contract or arrangement. (**X099**)

| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | (/ | | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|
| Elements to be Assessed | | Yes No | Surveyor Notes |
| 2.1 Does the Transplant program have a written detailed QAPI program? | 2.1a Is there a written QAPI plan? | Choose an item. | Documents Reviewed: |
| Yes: No: | 2.1b Is there a clear linkage between the transplant program's QAPI program and the hospital's QAPI program? | Choose an item. | Interviews: Transplant QAPI Director (or designee): Date/Time: |
| | 2.1c Are policies, procedures, QA measures and PI activities focused on transplant processes and outcomes? | Choose an item. | Comments: |
| | 2.1d Is the program implemented? | Choose an item. | |
| | 2.1e Describe the QAPI programs Quality methods / tools. | Choose an item. | Description: |
| | | | |
| 2.2 Does the QAPI program include eand living donation? (pre, procedure, p | valuation of all 3 phases for transplant ost) (as appropriate) | Choose an item. | <u>Comments:</u> |
| 2.3 Does the QAPI plan contain the 5 key elements of a Quality | 2.3a Design and Scope | Choose an item. | |
| structure? Yes: No: | 2.3b Executive Responsibilities | Choose an item. | |
| 165 | 2.3c Feedback and Data Systems | Choose an item. | |
| | 2.3d Analyses of Data | Choose an item. | |
| | 2.3e Performance Improvement Activities | Choose an item. | |
| 2.4 Is the program Data Driven? (has there been any action(s) take | n in response to the analyzed data) | Choose an item. | Comments: |

| Elements to be Assessed | Yes No | Surveyor Notes |
|--|-----------|----------------|
| 2.5 Are Benchmarks and Goals established using evidence based practices, | Choose an | Comments: |
| nationally recognized materials or the most current medical knowledge? | item. | |
| 2.6 Are QAPI activities focused on process improvement(s) and patient | Chassasa | Comments |
| outcomes? | Choose an | Comments: |
| outcomes. | item. | |
| 2.7 Is there MONITORING and EVALUATION of contracted services | Choose an | Comments: |
| connected to the transplant program? (enter N/A if there are no contracts) | item. | |
| | | |
| List all transplant connected contract services below: | | |
| 1) | | |
| (add more if necessary - determined at entrance conference) | | |
| | | |
| 2.8 TRANSPLANT QAPI COMMITTEE: | Choose an | Comments: |
| December 24 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 15 - 14 | item. | |
| Does the written plan identify the existence of a committee, list committee membership by staff role and identify the purpose of the committee? | | |
| membership by starr role and identify the purpose of the committee. | | |
| 2.9 Does the QAPI committee meet according to programs policy and | Choose an | Comments: |
| procedures? | item. | |
| Monthly | | |
| <u>Quarterly</u> Other | | |
| <u>ouici</u> | | |
| 2.10 Is there multi-disciplinary team participation? (Check all areas in the box | Choose an | Comments: |
| below to identify staff who are actively involved in committee meetings and functions; | item. | |
| active participation should be defined by program policy on acceptable participation) | | |
| Multi-Disciplinary Team | | |
| | | |
| Transplant SurgeonsTransplant Physicians Director of TransplantTransplant Clinic Nurse | | |
| Living Donor AdvocateTransplant Chine Noise | | |
| Transplant PharmacistTransplant Social Worker | | |
| Transplant CoordinatorsDedicated QAPI Staff Transplant Floor NurseTransplant Administrator | | |
| Transplant Floor NurseTransplant Administrator | | |
| 2.11 If there are multiple transplant QAPI committees or QAPI sub- | Choose an | Comments: |
| groups, is there a description of group's purpose and is the communication | item. | |
| between the group's defined? | | |
| (enter N/A if question does not apply) | 1 | |

| Elements to be Assessed | | Yes | No | Surveyor Notes |
|--|---|------|-------|--|
| 2.12 Describe how the transplan | t program's QAPI information is | Choo | | Description: |
| • | API program. (i.e. meetings, memos, | ite | m. | |
| emails, reports, etc.) | | | | |
| 2.13 Describe how the hospital's | QAPI information is communicated to the | Choo | se an | Description: |
| | neetings, memos, emails, reports, etc.) | ite | | • |
| 2.14 Describe how the transplan | t program's QAPI information is | Choo | se an | Description: |
| _ | staff. (i.e. meetings, memos, emails, reports, | ite | m. | |
| letters, etc.) | | | | |
| 2.15 Is there a process to | 2.15a Are the selected measures | Choo | se an | Comments: |
| determine what objective | OBJECTIVE ? (i.e., not financial in nature, | ite | m. | |
| measures the transplant QAPI program will look at on a | logistical in nature or required by regulation to be maintained) | | | |
| regular basis? | (see Resource Guide for more information) | | | |
| | | | | |
| Yes: No: | <u>2.15b</u> Are the OBJECTIVE measures based on internally identified, high risk, | Choo | | |
| | high volume, or problem prone issues? | ite | m. | |
| | ingii voidino, or prooferi prone issues. | | | |
| | 2.15c Do the OBJECTIVE measures | Choo | se an | |
| | include externally identified benchmarks? (best practice, professional standards, | ite | m. | |
| | evidenced based science) | | | |
| | , | | | |
| | 2.15d Are the OBJECTIVE measures | Choo | | |
| | focused on improving patient outcomes? | ite | m. | |
| 2.16 Is there a defined process | 2.16a What process has been determined? | Choo | se an | Comments: (document any and all methods chosen: computer, paper, |
| to identify and track | (what method of reporting adverse events, | ite | m. | hotline, other) |
| performance improvement? | occurrences, incidents, etc. will be utilized) | | | |
| (is there a system to identify | 2.16b Discuss how this connects to the | Choo | se an | Discussion: |
| issues within the program that | QAPI philosophy and organizational | ite | m. | |
| may need improvement related to | culture? | | | |
| patient outcomes or program performance) | | | | |
| 1 3 | | | | |

PART 3: GOVERNANCE AND LEADERSHIP

| Elements to be Assessed | | Yes | No | Surveyor Notes |
|--|--|-------|-------|---|
| 3.1 Has the formal Transplant QAPI program been-approved by the Governing Body? (including written policies and procedures, budgeted resources, and clearly identified responsible staff) Yes: No: | 3.1a Has the hospital / transplant program maintained and made available for surveyor evidence of its QAPI program and other requested materials? | Choos | se an | Documents Reviewed: Interviews: Title: Date/Time: Comments: |
| 3.2. Is there evidence of hospital lea knowledge of the transplant QAPI | | Choos | | Description: |
| 3.3 Can the transplant leadership p monitoring for each service related contracts not required) | | Choos | | Comments: |
| 3.4 Is there evidence that the hospital's governing body is involved in QAPI activities? (evidence may be found in QAPI meeting minutes, MEC minutes or hospital leadership reports) Yes: No: | 3.4a Approved the QAPI program indicators selected and the frequency of data collection? 3.4b Ensures the QAPI program annually determines the number of distinct QAPI performance improvement projects to be conducted in the coming year? 3.4c Actively reviews the results of QAPI data collection, analyses, | Choos | | Comments: |
| 3.5 Describe how hospital leadersh transplant program to conduct QAI away from normal duties for QAPI activity | PI activities. (i.e., staff training, time | Choos | | <u>Comments:</u> |

PART 4: FEEDBACK, DATA SYSTEMS AND MONITORING

<u>Regulation</u>: The transplant program's QAPI program must use objective measures to evaluate the program's performance with regard to transplantation activities and outcomes. (X100)

<u>Step 1</u>: Identify a measure/indicator for each phase of transplant care for recipients and living donors (if the program has living donation services). Fill in the grid below to ensure that measures/indicators have been implemented in relation to each phase of transplant care.

Step 2: Select one (1) indicator from the grid below for each phase (for a total of 3) and conduct tracer activities to answer the following multipart questions.

Focus on indicators that have been in place long enough for most questions to be applicable. The <u>TRACER</u> methodology will allow for an in-depth review of the indicator from dashboard/scorecard reports back to and through indicator measurement and development.

TRACER INDICATOR SELECTION (PROCESS AND OUTCOME MEASURES)

| | | ` | | | <u>'</u> |
|---|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|
| | PROCESS MEASU | RES (measures that reflect sec | quential steps to complete a ta | isk) | |
| PATIENT TYPE | PRE-TRANSPL | ANT / EVALUATION | PROCEDURE | POST PR | OCEDURE (DISCHARGE PLANNING) |
| RECIPIENT | | | | | |
| LIVING DONOR (if applicable) | | | | | |
| | OUTCOME N | MEASURES (measures that rela | te to a result or end of care) | | |
| PATIENT TYPE | PRE-TRANSPL | ANT / EVALUATION | PROCEDURE | POST PR | OCEDURE (DISCHARGE PLANNING) |
| RECIPIENT | | | | | |
| LIVING DONOR (if applicable) | | | | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | PRE PHASE | PROCEDURE PHA | ASE | POST PHASE |
| INDICATOR TRAC | ER | Indicator #1 | Indicator #2 | | Indicator #3 |
| Insert the selected indicator from the selected indicator | | | | | |

| Elements to be Assessed | Yes | or No | Yes | or No | Yes o | or No |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 4.1 Is the program using objective measures to evaluate | Choose an |
| the program's performance related to activities and | item. | item. | item. | item. | item. | item. |
| outcomes? | | | | | | |
| (programs should have indicators related to pre- transplant / living donor evaluation phase, procedure | | | | | | |
| phase and post procedure / discharge planning phase) | Comments | | Comments | | Comments | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Elements to be Assessed | Yes | or No | Yes | or No | Yes | or No |
|---|-----------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| 4.2 Is the indicator defined and understood by all | | | | | | |
| transplant staff? | Choose an | Choose an | Choose an | Choose an | Choose an | Choose an |
| | item. | item. | item. | item. | item. | item. |
| | | | | | | |
| | Comments | | Comments | | Comments | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 428 2 1 4 2 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 4 1 1 | D : : | | D | | D : :: | |
| 4.3 Describe what the indicator is based upon. (high | Description: | | Description: | | Description: | |
| risk, high volume, problem prone issues, best practices or benchmarks, guidelines from a nationally recognized | | | | | | |
| research organization, hospital specific evidence, peer- | | | | | | |
| reviewed research, internal targets/goals, etc.) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.4 Is the scope of the indicator specific to transplant | | | | | | |
| patients and not general hospital patients? (e.g., falls, | Choose | an item. | | an item. | | an item. |
| surgical site infections, medication errors). | Comments | | Comments | | Comments | |
| 4.5 Is appropriate data being captured for selected | | | | | | |
| indicator? (data sources, frequency, type and unit of | Choose | an item | Choose | an item. | Choose | an item. |
| measure, method of collection) (does the data answer/fit | Comments | | Comments | | Comments | |
| the indicator) | | | | | | |
| 4.6 Is there evidence of late, incomplete, or incorrect | | | | | | |
| data collection? (example: missing data on dashboards, | Choose | an item. | Choose | an item. | Choose | an item. |
| gaps in graphs/charts) | Comments | | Comments | | Comments | |
| 4.7 How does the program ensure data reliability? (if | | | | | | |
| more than one person is collecting) (is there cross | Choose | an item. | Choose | an item. | Choose | an item. |
| training, cross coverage, provided education) | Comments | | Comments | | Comments | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8 Did the program collect the data they said they | C1 | | C1 | *4 | | *4 |
| were going to? (look for raw data; something more substantive than | Choose | an item. | | an item. | | an item. |
| charts and graphs) | Comments | | Comments | | Comments | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.9 Are the collected data analyzed to explain | CI | | C1 | | | |
| improvements, deficits, or other conclusions? | Choose Comments | an item. | Choose | an item. | Choose | an item. |
| | Comments | | Comments | | Comments | |
| | | | 1 | | 1 | |

| Elements to be Assessed | Yes or No | Yes or No | Yes or No |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 4.10 When feasible, are aggregated data broken down into subsets that allow comparison of performance within the program? (i.e., individual surgeon graft loss, | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| graft loss by patient age/sex, waitlist denials by age/sex/demographics) | Comments | Comments | Comments |
| 4.11. Is there evidence that the program took action based on the analysis of collected data? | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| | Comments | Comments | Comments |
| 4.12 Are interventions or actions evaluated for success? | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| | Comments | Comments | Comments |
| 4.13 If interventions taken were not successful, were new interventions developed? | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| | Comments | Comments | Comments |
| 4.14 If interventions were successful, how does the program determine the improvement was sustainable? | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| | Comments | Comments | Comments |

PART 5: PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES TRACER

Regulation: The transplant program must take actions that result in performance improvements and track performance to ensure that improvements are sustained. (X101)

| Elements to be Assessed | Yes | No | Surveyor Notes |
|--|------|-------|---------------------|
| 5.2 Can the program provide evidence that its | Choo | se an | Documents Reviewed: |
| improvement activities focus on areas that are high | ite | m. | |
| risk (severity), high volume (incidence or | | | <u>Interviews:</u> |
| prevalence), or problem-prone? | | | <u>Title:</u> |
| | | | Date/Time: |
| | | | |
| | | | <u>Comments:</u> |
| | | | |
| 5.1 Can the program provide evidence that it | Choo | se an | Documents Reviewed: |
| conducts transplant specific performance | ite | m. | |
| improvement projects? | | | <u>Interviews:</u> |
| | | | Title: |
| | | | <u>Date/Time:</u> |
| | | | |

| Elem | nents to be Assessed | Yes | No | Surveyor Notes |
|---|---|------|----|---|
| | | | | Comments: |
| reflect the scope an program's services (do the projects see | nance improvement projects and complexity of the transplant and operations? In appropriate for the program size assues the program is dealing with) | Choo | | <u>Comments:</u> |
| members, transpla | t include multi-disciplinary team nt leadership members and where adership members? | Choo | | Comments: |
| 5.5 Can the progra each project was so | m provide evidence showing why elected? | Choo | | Documents Reviewed: Interviews: Title: Date/Time: Comments: |
| 5.6 Do performance improvement projects (PIPs) include the core | 5.6a Is there documentation that a problem or opportunity for improvement was identified and defined? | Choo | | Documents Reviewed: Interviews: Title: Date/Time: |
| components necessary for the transplant program to take | 5.6b Is there documentation that goals were established for the project? | Choo | | Comments: |
| action and sustain improvement? | <u>5.6c</u> Is there evidence that QAPI tools were selected and utilized as defined by the program? | Choo | | |
| Yes: No: | <u>5.6d</u> Is there documentation that data was selected and a method for collection defined? | Choo | | |
| | 5.6e Is there evidence that data was collected as defined? | Choo | | |

| Elements to be Assessed | Yes | No |
|--|------|-------|
| 5.6f Was the data analyzed as | Choo | se an |
| defined? | ite | m. |
| 5.6g Is there evidence that | Choo | se an |
| improvement actions were | ite | m. |
| implemented? | | |
| 5.6h Is there documentation that | Choo | se an |
| monitoring of improvement | ite | m. |
| actions occurred? | | |
| <u>5.6i</u> Is there documentation that | Choo | se an |
| follow-up analysis of | ite | m. |
| implemented actions and data | | |
| were conducted to determine if | | |
| the improvements were | | |
| sustained? | | |

PART 6: ADVERSE EVENT (AE) TRACER

Regulation: A transplant program must establish and implement written policies to address and document adverse events that occur during any phase of an organ transplantation case. The policies must address at a minimum, the process for the identification, reporting, analysis and prevention of adverse events. (X102) The transplant regulations define an adverse event as: "an untoward, undesirable, and usually unanticipated event that causes death or serious injury, or the risk thereof. As applied to transplant programs, examples of adverse events include (but are not limited to) serious medical complications or death caused by living donation; unintentional transplantation of organs of mismatched blood types; transplantation of organs to unintended recipients; and unintended transmission of infectious disease to a recipient."

| Elements to be Assessed | Yes | No | Surveyor Notes |
|--|-----------------|----------|---------------------|
| 6.1 Are there written <u>adverse event</u> (AE) policies | Choose an item. | | Documents Reviewed: |
| and procedures specific to transplant? | | | Interviewe |
| | | | Interviews: Title: |
| (transplant programs often follow the hospital process | | | Date/Time: |
| and definition. If so, determine how AE policies are specific to transplant and ensure communication between hospital and transplant is defined) | | | Comments: |
| 6.2 Are AEs evaluated according to policies and procedures? | Choose | an item. | Comments: |
| 6.3 Can transplant staff describe what is meant by | Choose | an item. | Interviews: |
| an adverse event (AE) in transplant? | | | Title: |
| | | | Date/Time: |
| | | | Comments: |

| Elements to be Assessed | | Yes | No | Surveyor Notes |
|--|---|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 6.4 Can transplant staff explain how and/or to | | Choose an item. | | Interviews: |
| whom they report an adve | | Choose an item. | | Title: |
| | , | | | Date/Time: |
| | | | | Comments: |
| (5D (1) 1 1/1 | | C1 ** | | |
| 6.5 Does the hospital/prog | | Choose an item. | | Comments: |
| addition to staff inclaent readverse events? | porting, to identify possible | | | |
| adverse events: | | | | |
| 6.6 Can the program prov | vide evidence that adverse | Choose an item. | | Documents Reviewed: |
| events identified through | | CHOOSE | dir itelii. | |
| addressed? | starr reports are semig | | | Comments: |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6.7 Does the written | <u>6.7a</u> For each organ type. | Choose | an item. | <u>Comments</u> : |
| adverse event policy | (approved and being | | | |
| address the following communication and | surveyed) | C1 | *4 | |
| reporting structures? | <u>6.7b</u> Staff reporting and communication methods | Choose | an item. | |
| reporting structures: | within the transplant | | | |
| | program and hospital. | | | |
| | 6.7c Process for | Choose | an item. | |
| | disclosure of AE's to the | CHOOSE | an item. | |
| | patient(s) (or family). | | | |
| | 6.7d Process and timeline | Choose | an item. | |
| | for reporting adverse | | | |
| | events to required public, | | | |
| | state and federal agencies. | | | |
| | (OPO, OPTN, State, CMS, | | | |
| | etc.) | | | |
| | <u>6.7e</u> Is there evidence that | Choose | an item. | |
| | the transplant program has | | | |
| | adopted policies | | | |
| | supporting a non-punitive | | | |
| | approach to staff reporting | | | |
| | of events and situations they consider unsafe? | | | |
| 6.8 Does the written policy | | Change | on item | Comments |
| severity of events that are | | Choose | an item. | Comments: |
| severity of events that are | Hackey and analyzed: | | | |
| (all events outside of normal and routine care, no | | | | |
| | d have a minimal screening | | | |
| into trajiminom, smouth | | | | |

| Elements to | be Assessed | Yes | No | Surveyor Notes |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| to determine if a full causal analysis shall be conducted | | . 20 | | |
| – events may range from fal | • | | | |
| open, medication events, int | | | | |
| year of transplant) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6.9 Does the program | <u>6.9a</u> Who is responsible | Choose | an item. | Comments: |
| have a defined analysis | for conducting the AE | | | |
| method / process for | analysis. | | | |
| adverse events (AE)? | | | | |
| | <u>6.9b</u> What types of | Choose | an item. | |
| (Verify the policy or list of | events that will be | | | |
| those events that will be reviewed include: death, | reviewed. | | | |
| patient harm, loss of function | | | | |
| or any item that has the | 6.9c Actions taken to | Choose | an item. | |
| <u>POTENTIAL</u> to cause death, | prevent similar adverse | | | |
| loss of function or harm to a | events. | | | |
| patient.) | | ~1 | | |
| | 6.9d Method for follow up | Choose | an item. | |
| | and evaluating actions | | | |
| 6.10 Describe which meth | taken. | Danadati | f + | |
| analyze adverse events (A) | · / | Description | on of tools: | |
| analyze adverse events (A) | L 5). | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6.11 Has the program/hos | pital conducted any causal | Choose | an item. | Comments: |
| analyses in the past 24 mo | | 0110000 | | |
| | | | | |
| <u>If yes – complete causa</u> | al analysis tracer below | | | |
| | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | e adverse event address all | Choose | an item. | Comments: |
| appropriate areas across t | he continuum of care? | | | |
| | | | | |
| Causal | Analysis | | | |
| | | | | |
| | uestions or unresolved | | | |
| | he findings were explained, | | | |
| | ered underlying systems, | | | |
| processes and rev | view of literature) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Elements to be Assessed | Yes | No | Surveyor Notes |
|--|--------|----------|----------------|
| 6.13 Has the program/hospital reviewed or | Choose | an item. | Comments: |
| compared completed adverse event analysis to | | | |
| similar past events in an attempt to identify links or | | | |
| causal relationships to event outcomes? | | | |

Regulation: The transplant program must conduct a thorough analysis of and document any adverse event. (X103) The transplant program must utilize the analysis to effect changes in the Transplant Program's policies and practices to prevent repeat incidents. (X104)

Instructions: If the answer to Question 6.11 is "YES", select three (3) (or as many as available) causal analyses the program has completed for adverse events or near misses (close calls) during the last 24 months. Analyses may be of a single event or a group of similar types of events. **ANSWER EACH QUESTION FOR EACH ANALYSIS**

CAUSAL ANALYSIS TRACER

| E | Elements to be Assessed | Yes / No | Yes / No | Yes / No |
|---------------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| CAU | ISAL ANALYSIS TRACER | Causal Analysis #1 | Causal Analysis #2 | Causal Analysis #3 |
| | l causal analysis. (use a identifier code o avoid capturing PHI or identifiable his worksheet). | | | |
| 6.14 Did the analysis | 6.14a Primary root cause(s). | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| identify: | 6.14b Special or underlying cause(s). | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| (select all that | | | | |
| may apply) | 6.14c Contributing factors to the event. (ensure that the entire continuum of care was considered in the review) | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| 6.15 Did the program thoroughly | 6.15a Specific chronology of the incident. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| document the causal analysis? | 6.15b Interview with all relevant staff involved. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| Yes: | 6.15c Interview with relevant external parties. (e.g., OPO, referring physicians) | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| | <u>6.15d</u> Review of all relevant policies | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |

| Elements to be Assessed | Yes / No | Yes / No | Yes / No |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| and procedures and identification of any variation that occurred. | | | |
| 6.15e Any contextual factors related to the environment. (e.g., staff schedules, bed availability, equipment, systems, other human factors) | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| 6.15f Rate of occurrence and common factors for the same / similar event(s)? | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| Comments for 6.15 | Comments | Comments | Comments |
| 6.16 Did individual(s) with authority to make decisions about the transplant program participate in the analysis of the adverse event? | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| | Comments | Comments | Comments |
| 6.17 Are there specific recommendations/action steps that resulted from the analysis? | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| | Comments | Comments | Comments |
| 6.18 Were potential areas to <u>prevent</u> repeat incidences identified? | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| (if after analysis it was determined that no opportunities for improvement exist – describe why) | Description: | Description: | Description: |

| Elements to be Assessed | Yes / No | Yes / No | Yes / No |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 6.19 Has the program developed and implemented preventive actions based on the analysis in at least one area? | Choose an item. Comments | Choose an item. Comments | Choose an item. Comments |
| 6.20 Has the program evaluated the impact of the preventative actions, including tracking re-occurrences of similar events? | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| (did the actions or results of the analysis generate a QA / PI measure or indicator – closing the QA loop) | Comments | Comments | Comments |
| 6.21 If intervention(s) did not meet established goals; did the program implement a revised intervention / action? | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| intervention / action: | Comments | Comments | Comments |
| 6.22 Has the program implemented preventative actions determined to be effective utilizing similar processes / at similar risk? (was the actions included | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | Choose an item. |
| in the QA/PI plan, risk assessment or program evaluation) | Comments | Comments | Comments |